

## UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

					H~	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/164.123	09/30/98	MAYER		A G	GR-97-P-2681	
LERNER AND GREENBERG P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOD FL 33022-2480		MM42/0720	7	EXAMINER		
			·	CLARK, S		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815	4 0	
				DATE MAILED:	07/20/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Acti n Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ers on the cover sh	eet beneath the co	rrespondence address—
Period for Reply		30days	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state</li> </ul>	eply within the statutory t, expire SIX (6) MONTH	minimum of thirty (30) IS from the mailing dat	days will be considered timely. e of this communication .
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL.</b>			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	it for formal matters, 35 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.0	prosecution as to 6. 213.	the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
Disposition of Claims   - 14		$\_$ is/are pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are		
☐ Claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are allowed.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)	is/are	_ is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) / / / /	are su require	are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawi			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on			d.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Exam	iner.	
<ul> <li>The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 11		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received.			
	ber)		
<ul><li>□ received.</li><li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num)</li></ul>	ber) nternational Bureau (	PCT Rule 1 7.2(a))	
<ul> <li>received.</li> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num)</li> <li>received in this national stage application from the In</li> </ul>	ber) nternational Bureau (	PCT Rule 1 7.2(a))	
<ul> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num</li> <li>□ received in this national stage application from the In</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	ber) itemational Bureau (	PCT Rule 1 7.2(a))	· ·
□ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	ber) itemational Bureau (	PCT Rule 1 7.2(a))  □ Interview Sum	· ·

Serial Number: 09/164,123 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

## Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 12-14, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass
   723.
- II. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method of making semiconductor devices, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the group II invention, for example, by using a mechanical method to perform the "severing" instead of using an energy pulse.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-

Serial Number: 09/164,123 Page 3

Art Unit:

2815

extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Group Receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

Mahshid Saadat

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

mb/mds June 24, 1999